



TELETHON SPRING SEED GRANT 2023

Review process and Guidelines

The evaluation process entails three consecutive phases:

1. All submitted applications have been first verified by Fondazione Telethon for administrative purposes and relevance. Fondazione Telethon has selected an ad hoc panel of expert reviewers.
2. The panel is asked to evaluate the scientific merit of the accepted applications and to provide written comments.
3. The evaluation process will culminate in a final discussion during a plenary scientific review meeting.

EVALUATION INSTRUCTIONS

Panel Members are asked to fill in the “Project Evaluation form” available in the Telethon Projects Management system, TETRA at <http://projects.telethon.it> accessible through personal login and password.

Written Comments

Written comments are an essential part of the review and are critical in developing the review report for the Applicant.

We ask you to provide a statement that should be a summary of the key reasons for your recommendation according to the criteria specified below.

The individual written comments will be anonymously incorporated into a complete review report that will be fed back to the Applicant. It is therefore important that the written evaluation is accurate, clearly written, and does not include derogatory language.

Evaluation criteria

Scientific Merit: Evaluate the overall scientific merit of the proposal by providing an analysis of the strengths and weaknesses on the basis of the following parameters:

Significance

Does this study address an important problem? What will the effect of these studies be on the concepts or methods that drive this field?

Originality of science

Does the project employ novel concepts, approaches, or methods? Are the aims original and innovative? Does the project challenge existing paradigms or develop new methodologies or technologies?

Appropriateness of design and methods

Are the conceptual framework, design, methods, and analyses adequately developed, well-integrated, and appropriate to the aims of the project?

Preliminary results - if ANY

Please note: preliminary results are not mandatory and as such their absence should not be considered detrimental for the significance and originality of the Proposal. Their presence instead has not to be considered added value; verify whether the provided results are adequately supporting the principles to be tested.

Feasibility

Does the Applicant acknowledge potential problem areas and consider alternative plans?

Safety

Please evaluate the adequacy of the proposed protection for the humans, the animals, or the environment (if any), to the extent they may be adversely affected by the project proposed in the Application.

The potential of the proposed project

Added value: If the Aims of the Application will be achieved, how current scientific knowledge will advance?

Unmet need: How will the project contribute to fulfill current unmet need/s in the disease under investigation?

Applicant

Is the Applicant appropriately trained and well-suited to carry out this work? Is the work proposed proportionate to his/her level of experience?

Please note that Fondazione Telethon does not apply assessment of Candidate's CV based on journal-based metrics, such as Journal Impact Factor. Fondazione Telethon signed and endorses the San Francisco Declaration on Research Assessment (DORA, <http://www.ascb.org/dora/>).

Budget

A maximum of 50,000 € for 12 months project is allowed. The Panel members will be asked to evaluate overall budget request in relation to the proposed experimental plan.

Overall Recommendation

Panel Members will be asked to give a score, which should reflect the written comments, according to the following scale:

- 5 - Excellent; no substantial issues need discussion.
- 4 - Good; Only one or a few addressable concerns.
- 3 - Average; Several concerns in one or more Aims.
- 2 - Poor; Major concerns in one or more Aims.

March 23rd, 2023

FONDAZIONE TELETHON ETS